

Room: E3 5532018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 6:30****Ballot Due: Soon!****Side 1:** Aveson Global Leadership Academy
APKE**Side 2:** Magnet Academy GSCS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

2	N Amelia Pinto	4 27	27
---	----------------	--------------------	----

Tight seats 1	N Katie Evenfield	3 26	29
------------------	-------------------	--------------------	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

A	Gyan Shrivastava	1	28
---	------------------	---	----

A	Chris Song	2	29
---	------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC Speech Team
JUDGE'S AFFILIATIONAdd
2 sec

katie: 4:03

- Good voice projection! And really good voice inflections
- I feel like you fell victim to a speaking pattern the more you got into your point

Crossfire: 2:15

- Good argument/questions

Amelia: 4:14

- Should stand straighter - better posture implies more confidence
- Try not to say "like" too much... the formality of your ~~to~~ language should match the formality of the argument and setting

~~You said oil drilling will impact environment at least give own example so that it~~

Gyan: 3:24

- Great voice projection!
- Swaying is a sign of nervousness - you're doing great so far, but a lack of this will strengthen your appearance! 😊

Crossfire: 2:15

- Great job with source

Chris: 4:01

- Do not obviously show your frustration when you mess up while talking - just take it and keep going with pride and confidence in your argument

Crossfire: 2:40

Gyan: 2:13

Crossfire: 3:48

- Thank you for being respectful

Crossfire: 2:40

Katie: 1:36

• Fantastic eye contact!

Crossfire: 3:48

• Amelia, remain more respectful when it's your opponent's turn to speak

• Katie, when you're out of time do not just continue with your argument

Amelia: 2:06

Chris: 1:55

• Great argument on expert opinions

134

Liliane Gonzalez
Anthony Ramirez - ELAC

Open Public Forum R6

Room: E3 ~~525~~ 5082018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 6:30

Ballot Due: Soon!

Side 1: Alex Lee

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Alex Lee	1	30
2	Alex Lee	1	30

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
SSEJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Sunny Sun	2	27
2	Ellie Jiang	2	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Elac
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION① Sunny - Need to slow down, great info!
~~but needs better info. Contradicting.~~

② Ellie - Needs to relax to rush. More eye contact.

① Alex - Prepared, focused. Great performance.
Has enough info, great points eye contact is amazing.② Alex - Fact, statements are great
Very Prepared.

Room: E3 557

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 6:30

Ballot Due: Soon!

Side 1: Wilshire Academy RKDN

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
DWCL

AFFIRMATIVE

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>X</u>	Roy Kim	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>X</u>	Daniel Noh	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>

NEGATIVE

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>X</u>	Danielle Workman	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>X</u>	Chloe Leong	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Daniel Noh
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments given post-debate

Comments given post-debate

Room: E3 517

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 6:30

Ballot Due: Soon!

Side 1: Magnet Academy AHBF 2nd

Side 2: Wilshire Academy CSKA Aff

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Aditya Hari	2	29

1	Benjamin Fan	4	27
---	--------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Clara Son	3	28

1	Kriste An	1	30
---	-----------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Eric Orozco
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREEast Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- quality of life value
- balance: more good than harm
- veto can disregard ruling
- China too powerful to punish
- economic drains
 - baseless environmental lawsuits
- US already legal mining framework
- Russia also would ignore treaty
- submarine limits
 - limits information
- aff needs to prove quality of life
- UNCLOS needs restrain on China
- decrease to global security
- US better with unilateral treaties
- US already acts according to treaty
- rare earth elements are not rare
 - not worthy of royalties
- UNCLOS lacks enforcement
- other treaties have less risk

- save money for the US
- greater resources and land, potential for billions
- harmless ships aren't fined
 - over 2 trillion annually on the line
- trade is cheaper/smooth
- helps environment
- money can be used to benefit quality of life
- funding programs, fits both values
- global security by guidelines
- US has allies, gang voting irrelevant
- tech transfer is not mandated
- UNCLOS is a worthy treaty
- why not join UNCLOS "besides all these reasons?"
- arguments fit neg criterion

- royalties raise taxes for corrupt govt
- US would be joining an unjust system
 - 2 people nearly died, quality of life affected
- treaty lacks enforcement
- ~~neg~~ provided no evidence for false ^{neg} _{claims} _{aff}
- lose money in lawsuits and royalties
 - more money than programs for funding
- checks do not apply in high seas
- UNCLOS does not compare to other treaties
- rare earth elements elsewhere
- joining has risks

- countries can self-regulate
- neg unclear about repercussions
- ships checked before harms under UNCLOS
- high sea lack of regulation only applies to fisheries
- war does not correlate to UNCLOS
- evidence violation by neg

Notes: Both teams were ~~great~~ great. Arguments were well-thought out and delivered. Aff asked the right questions, neg had great answers.

KFD: Because the security arguments were mostly based on potentials (at almost exaggerated levels), my decision comes down to which treaties provide better quality of life, through economic benefits. Aff had a bigger number.